

PARTIAL MEASURES

O. E. TIKHONOV

ABSTRACT. We study σ -additive set functions defined on a hereditary subclass of a σ -algebra and taken values in the extended real line. Analogs of the Jordan decomposition theorem and the Radon-Nikodym theorem are obtained.

Throughout this note, $\mathcal{A}$ stands for a σ -algebra of subsets of a set Ω , $\overline{\mathbb{R}}$ denotes the extended real line $\mathbb{R} \cup \{-\infty\} \cup \{+\infty\}$. By a *measure* on $\mathcal{A}$ we mean a σ -additive set function $\mu : \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ with $\mu(\emptyset) = 0$. Note that in fact a measure can take at most one of the values $+\infty, -\infty$.

Definition. A set function $\mu : \mathcal{D}(\mu) \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ defined on a nonvoid subclass $\mathcal{D}(\mu)$ of a σ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is called a *partial measure* on $\mathcal{A}$ if for every $B \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ the restriction $\mu|_{\mathcal{A} \cap B}$ is a measure on the σ -algebra $\mathcal{A} \cap B = \{A \cap B : A \in \mathcal{A}\}$. A partial measure is called *maximal* if it has no proper extension to a partial measure on $\mathcal{A}$.

By making use of the Zorn lemma, it is easy to see that every partial measure can be extended to a maximal one.

Example 1. Let μ_1, μ_2 be positive measures on a $\mathcal{A}$. Then the formula $(\mu_1 - \mu_2)(A) = \mu_1(A) - \mu_2(A)$ defines a partial measure $\mu_1 - \mu_2$. ($\mathcal{D}(\mu_1 - \mu_2) = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \mu_1(A) - \mu_2(A) \text{ is well-posed}\}$.)

Example 2. Let $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ be a probability space, $\xi : \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ be a random variable. Then the formula $\mu_\xi(A) = \int_A \xi d\mathbb{P}$ defines a maximal partial measure μ_ξ on $\mathcal{A}$. ($\mathcal{D}(\mu_\xi) = \{A \in \mathcal{A} : \xi \text{ is quasiintegrable over } A\}$.)

For a partial measure μ on $\mathcal{A}$, define two classes: $\mathcal{F}^+(\mu) = \{F \in \mathcal{D}(\mu) : \mathcal{A} \ni A \subset F \implies \mu(A) \geq 0\}$, $\mathcal{F}^-(\mu) = \{F \in \mathcal{D}(\mu) : \mathcal{A} \ni A \subset F \implies \mu(A) \leq 0\}$.

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 28A10.

Key words and phrases. Set function, σ -algebra, Jordan decomposition theorem, Radon-Nikodym theorem.

Supported by the Russian Foundation for Basic Research (grant no. 98-01-00103) and the scientific program Universities of Russia — Basic Research (grant no. 1617).

Lemma 1. *Let $\{F_i : i \in I\}$ and $\{F'_j : j \in J\}$ be two finite or countable families of mutually disjoint sets of $\mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ such that $\bigcup_{i \in I} F_i = \bigcup_{j \in J} F'_j$. Then $\sum_{i \in I} \mu(F_i) = \sum_{j \in J} \mu(F'_j)$.*

Proof. Since $F_i = \bigcup_{j \in J} (F_i \cap F'_j)$ for every $i \in I$, $F'_j = \bigcup_{i \in I} (F_i \cap F'_j)$ for every $j \in J$, and $F_i, F'_j \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ we have

$$\sum_{i \in I} \mu(F_i) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{j \in J} \mu(F_i \cap F'_j) = \sum_{j \in J} \sum_{i \in I} \mu(F_i \cap F'_j) = \sum_{j \in J} \mu(F'_j).$$

Lemma 2. *Let a partial measure μ on $\mathcal{A}$ be maximal. If $\{F_i : i \in I\}$ is a finite or countable family of sets of $\mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ then $\bigcup_{i \in I} F_i \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$.*

Proof. Clearly, it suffices to prove that all the unions $\bigcup_{i \in I} F_i$ of finite or countable families of mutually disjoint sets of $\mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ belong to $\mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$. Extend μ to such unions by means of the formula $\tilde{\mu}(\bigcup_{i \in I} F_i) = \sum_{i \in I} \mu(F_i)$. (By Lemma 1, $\tilde{\mu}$ is well-defined.) The extension obtained is a partial measure on $\mathcal{A}$. Really, if $A = \bigcup_{i \in I} F_i$ (I is finite or countable, $F_i \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ for any $i \in I$, $F_i \cap F_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$) and $A = \bigcup_{k \in K} A_k$ (K is finite or countable, $A_k \in \mathcal{A}$ for any $k \in K$, $A_k \cap A_l = \emptyset$ for $k \neq l$) then

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mu}(A) &= \sum_{i \in I} \mu\left(\bigcup_{k \in K} (F_i \cap A_k)\right) = \sum_{i \in I} \sum_{k \in K} \mu(F_i \cap A_k) = \sum_{k \in K} \sum_{i \in I} \mu(F_i \cap A_k) \\ &= \sum_{k \in K} \tilde{\mu}(A_k). \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that the unions under consideration are sets of the class $\mathcal{F}^+(\tilde{\mu})$. To complete the proof, it suffices to observe that $\tilde{\mu} = \mu$ by the maximality of μ .

Theorem 1. *Let μ be a maximal partial measure on a σ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$. Then the formulas*

$$\mu^+(A) = \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)} \mu(A \cap F), \quad \mu^-(A) = \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}^-(\mu)} (-\mu(A \cap F)) \quad (A \in \mathcal{A})$$

define two positive measures on $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$. Moreover, if μ_1 is a positive measure on $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A) \leq \mu_1(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ then $\mu^+ \leq \mu_1$. Similarly, if μ_2 is a positive measure on $\mathcal{A}$ with $-\mu(A) \leq \mu_2(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ then $\mu^- \leq \mu_2$.

Proof. Observe, first, that for every $F \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ the formula $\mu_F(A) = \mu(A \cap F)$ defines a positive measure μ_F on $\mathcal{A}$. Also, from Lemma 2 it follows that the class $\mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ is upwards directed by inclusion. Moreover, the condition $F_1 \subset F_2$ ($F_1, F_2 \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$) implies $\mu_{F_1}(A) \leq \mu_{F_2}(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{A}$. It follows that the set function μ^+ on $\mathcal{A}$ is a pointwise limit of an increasing net of positive measures, therefore, μ^+ is a positive measure in turn. Similarly, μ^- is a positive measure on $\mathcal{A}$.

Next, let $A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$. By the Hahn decomposition theorem, A can be represented as a union, $A = A^+ \cup A^-$, of two disjoint sets $A^+ \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ and $A^- \in \mathcal{F}^-(\mu)$. Clearly, $\mu^+(A) = \mu(A^+)$ and $\mu^-(A) = -\mu(A^-)$. Thus, $\mu(A) = \mu^+(A) - \mu^-(A)$ for any $A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$. Since $\mu^+ - \mu^-$ is a partial measure on $\mathcal{A}$ (see Example 1) the maximality of μ yields $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$.

Now, let μ_1 is a positive measure on $\mathcal{A}$ with $\mu(A) \leq \mu_1(A)$ for all $A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$. Take $A \in \mathcal{A}$. For every $F \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ we have $\mu(A \cap F) \leq \mu_1(A \cap F) \leq \mu_1(A)$. Therefore, $\mu^+(A) = \sup_{F \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)} \mu(A \cap F) \leq \mu_1(A)$.

Thus, we have proved the extremal property for μ^+ . The similar extremal property for μ^- can be proved in the same manner.

Corollary 1. *Let μ be a maximal partial measure on a σ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and A be a set of $\mathcal{A} \setminus \mathcal{D}(\mu)$. Then there exist two subsets A', A'' of A such that $A' \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ and $\mu(A') = +\infty$, $A'' \in \mathcal{F}^-(\mu)$ and $\mu(A'') = -\infty$.*

Proof. Since $A \notin \mathcal{D}(\mu) = \mathcal{D}(\mu^+ - \mu^-)$ we have $\mu^+(A) = +\infty$ and $\mu^-(A) = +\infty$. By the definition of μ^+ , we can find a sequence $\{A_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ of subsets of A , such that $\mu(A_n) \geq n$. By Lemma 2, the union $A' = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} A_n$ is a set of $\mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$, and, obviously, $\mu(A') = +\infty$. The set A'' can be constructed in the same manner.

Remark. The preceding theorem is an analog of the Jordan decomposition theorem. Example 3 below shows that the direct analog of the Hahn decomposition does not hold for general maximal partial measures. Nevertheless, the latter analog does hold provided that a maximal partial measure under consideration is absolutely continuous with respect to a certain “good” measure (see the proof of Theorem 2 below).

Example 3. Let a σ -algebra $\mathcal{A}$ of subsets of Ω contains all the one-point subsets of Ω and does not coincide with the class $\mathcal{P}(\Omega)$ of all the subsets of Ω . (For instance, we may take the Borel σ -algebra of $[0, 1]$ as $\mathcal{A}$.) Let $B \in \mathcal{P}(\Omega) \setminus \mathcal{A}$. Define the set function μ as follows:

$$\mu(A) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } A = \emptyset, \\ +\infty & \text{if } \mathcal{A} \ni A \subset B \text{ and } A \neq \emptyset, \\ -\infty & \text{if } \mathcal{A} \ni A \subset \Omega \setminus B \text{ and } A \neq \emptyset. \end{cases}$$

It is easy to see that μ is a maximal partial measure on $\mathcal{A}$ and that there exists no $C \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ with $\Omega \setminus C \in \mathcal{F}^-(\mu)$.

In the rest of the note, the triple $(\Omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathbb{P})$ stands for a probability space, the abbreviation a. s. means “almost surely with respect to $\mathbb{P}$ ”. The following theorem is an analog of the Radon-Nikodym one.

Theorem 2. *Let a maximal partial measure μ on $\mathcal{A}$ be absolutely continuous with respect to a probability measure $\mathbb{P}$ (i. e., $\mathbb{P}(A) = 0$ implies $A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$ and $\mu(A) = 0$). Then there exists an a. s. unique random variable $\xi: \Omega \rightarrow \overline{\mathbb{R}}$ such that $\mu = \mu_\xi$, where $\mu_\xi(A) = \int_A \xi d\mathbb{P}$ (see Example 2).*

Proof. Set $\Omega^+ = \text{ess sup } \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$. Recall that $\text{ess sup } \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ is a set of $\mathcal{A}$ such that for any $A \in \mathcal{A}$

$$\forall F \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu) (F \underset{\text{a.s.}}{\subset} A) \iff \text{ess sup } \mathcal{F}^+(\mu) \underset{\text{a.s.}}{\subset} A$$

(see, e. g., [1, Proposition II.4.1]). From the proof of the proposition just referred, it follows that Ω^+ can be constructed as a union of a countable family of sets of $\mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$. Therefore, $\Omega^+ \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ by Lemma 2.

Our next goal is to show that $\Omega^- = \Omega \setminus \Omega^+$ is a set of $\mathcal{F}^-(\mu)$. Suppose, on the contrary, that $\Omega^- \notin \mathcal{F}^-(\mu)$. Then there exists $A \subset \Omega^-$ ($A \in \mathcal{A}$) such that either $\mu(A) > 0$ or $A \notin \mathcal{D}(\mu)$. In each of the cases, we can find a subset $A' \subset A$ with $A' \in \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$ and $\mu(A') > 0$ (see Corollary 1 for the second case). Hence $\mathbb{P}(A') > 0$. This contradicts the definition of $\Omega^+ = \text{ess sup } \mathcal{F}^+(\mu)$.

By making use of the Radon-Nikodym theorem (see, e. g., [1, Proposition IV.1.4]), we can find two positive random variables ξ^+ and ξ^- such that $\xi^+(\omega) = 0$ for $\omega \in \Omega^-$, $\xi^-(\omega) = 0$ for $\omega \in \Omega^+$, $\mu(A) = \int_A \xi^+ d\mathbb{P}$ if $\Omega^+ \supset A \in \mathcal{A}$, $\mu(A) = -\int_A \xi^- d\mathbb{P}$ if $\Omega^- \supset A \in \mathcal{A}$. Writing $\xi = \xi^+ - \xi^-$ we have for $A \in \mathcal{D}(\mu)$

$$\mu(A) = \mu(A \cap \Omega^+) + \mu(A \cap \Omega^-) = \int_{A \cap \Omega^+} \xi^+ d\mathbb{P} - \int_{A \cap \Omega^-} \xi^- d\mathbb{P} = \int_A \xi d\mathbb{P}.$$

Hence, $\mu = \mu_\xi$ by the maximality of μ .

It remains to prove the claim about the uniqueness. Let η be a random value such that $\mu_\eta = \mu$. Then, by the uniqueness assertion in the Radon-Nikodym theorem, we have $\eta|_{\Omega^+} \underset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \xi|_{\Omega^+}$ and $\eta|_{\Omega^-} \underset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \xi|_{\Omega^-}$, hence $\eta \underset{\text{a.s.}}{=} \xi$.

REFERENCES

1. J. Neveu, *Bases mathématiques du calcul des probabilités*, Masson et Cie, Paris, 1964; Russian transl., Mir, Moscow, 1969.

RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF MATHEMATICS AND MECHANICS, KAZAN STATE UNIVERSITY, UNIVERSITetskAYA STR. 17, KAZAN, TATARSTAN, 420008 RUSSIA

E-mail address: Oleg.Tikhonov@ksu.ru